Amendments to the Claims:

5

Please cancel claim 2 and amend claim 1 as shown in the following listing of claims. This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

- 1. (currently amended) A method of determining the region of interest in images
- of skin impressions, the skin having ridges and valleys and the images taking the
- form of image data, values within a first value range being assigned to the ridges
- 4 and values within a second value range of the image data being assigned to the
- 5 valleys, characterized in that the values of the overall image are shifted in the
- 6 direction of the first value range, in that the overall image is divided into tiles, in
- that mean values of the shifted values for the individual tiles are compared with a
- 8 reference value and in that those tiles whose mean value deviates relative to the
- 9 reference value in the direction of the first value range are considered at least on a
- preliminary basis as belonging to the region of interest, wherein to shift the
- values, each tile is split into a plurality of groups of pixels distributed extensively
- uniformly over the tiles, in that, for each tile and each group, the minimum of the
- corresponding values is formed, in that, for each tile, the mean value is calculated
- by means of the minimum values of the groups and in that the mean value is
- stored as a property of the respective tile.
- 1 2. (canceled).
- 1 3. (previously presented) A method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that,
- 2 for the tiles not yet deemed to belong to the region of interest, the variance of the
- 3 gray scale values within each tile in the unchanged image data is calculated and in
- 4 that an evaluation of these tiles is effected as a function of the mean value, the
- 5 variance and a reference value in such a way that a difference between the mean
- 6 value and the reference value, which in itself indicates lack of belonging to the
- 7 region of interest, may be compensated by an appropriately large variance and a
- 8 difference which indicates belonging to the region of interest may be compensated
- 9 by an appropriately small variance.

- 4. (original) A method as claimed in claim 3, characterized in that each tile is
- then examined as to whether more than five or fewer than six of the tiles
- 3 surrounding it have been assessed as belonging to the region of interest, wherein
- 4 in the case of more than five the examined tile is assessed as belonging to the
- 5 region of interest and in the case of fewer than six the examined tile is assessed as
- 6 not belonging to the region of interest.
- 5. (original) A method as claimed in claim 4, characterized in that the step as
- 2 claimed in claim 3 is repeated three times.
- 6. (original) A method as claimed in claim 5, characterized in that the hitherto
- determined region of interest is investigated for "inlets" and in that tiles lying in
- 3 "inlets" are assumed to belong to the region of interest.
- 7. (original) A method as claimed in claim 6, characterized in that, on each side
- of the hitherto determined region of interest, pointers starting from both ends of
- the side are in each case positioned on the outermost tile determined as belonging
- 4 to the region of interest, wherein the pointers travel towards one another and row
- 5 by row adopt the position of a tile lying further outwards or retain the same
- 6 position in the case of an "inlet" and in that the path of the pointers until the
- 7 pointers meet forms the respective border of the final region of interest.